JOSE C. PRINCIPE

11 OF HJORIDA

EH:1-6502

352 392-2662

principe@enel ufl.edu

#### TRACKING PERFORMANCE OF ADAPTIVE FILTERS

### Nonstationary data model

Let me assume that for any given data {d(i),x(i)}

where v(i) is the unmodeled component of the desired and is orthogonal to x(i) with variance y(i). We will further assume that it is i.i.d. and independent to all  $\{x(i)\}$ .

We will also assume a model for the variation of the weight vectors  $w^*(i) = w^*(i-i) + q(i)$ 

where q(i) is a random perturbation independent of  $\{x(j),v(j)\}$  assumed zero mean with covariance  $Q = \{x(j),y(j)\}$ 

It is easy to see that the weights will have constant mean.

The initial condition is also a random variable independent

JOSE C. PRINCIPE

U OF FLORIDA

EDJ 6502

352-393-2662

principe@enel ufliedu

It is easy to see that the weights at each time j are dependent of  $w(j) = \int \left[w_{-1}, d(j), \dots, d(o), x(j), \dots \times (o)\right]^{2}$ 

Now v(i) is independent of w(j) for j<i. v(i) is also independent of  $\widetilde{w}(j)$  defined as  $\widetilde{w}(j) = w^*(j) - w(i)$  and also of the a priori error defined in the nonstationary case as  $\ell_{\alpha}(i) = \chi(i) \, w^*(i) - \chi(i) \, w(i-1) \, \left[\ell_{\alpha}(i) \neq \chi(i) \, w^*(i-1)\right]$ 

# Excess MSE (EMSE) in the nonstationary case

Write

$$e(i) = d(i) - x(i) W(i-i)$$

$$= v(i) + e_a(i)$$

Since v(i) is zero mean and ea(i) are independent  $\mathbb{E} \left\{ e(i) \right\}^2 = \mathbb{E} \left\{ w(i) \right\}^2 + \mathbb{E} \left\{ \ell_{\alpha}(i) \right\}^2$ 

MLSD

So the EMSE in the nonstationary case can be evaluated by the steady state variance of the a priori error.

TOSE C. PRINCIPE.

U OFFI ORIDA

T:EL: 6502

352, 302, 2662

principes/chel affedu

A lower bound to the EMSE can be determined as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\alpha}(i) &= v(i) \ w^{*}(i) - v(i) w(i-i) = \times (i) \left[ \ w^{*}(i-i) - w(i-i) \right] + \times (i) q(i) \\ &= \left[ \left[ \left[ \times (i) \right] \left( \ w^{*}(i-i) - w(i-i) \right]^{2} + \left[ \left[ \times (i) q(i) \right]^{2} \right] \\ &> \left[ \left[ \times (i) q(i) \right]^{2} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \left[ RQ \right) \right] \end{aligned} \end{aligned}$$

So the misadjustment of an adaptive filter in a nonstationary environment is lowered bounded by

The degree of stationarity of the data is defined as

$$DN = \sqrt{\frac{L(RQ)}{\sigma^2}}$$

If DN is larger than one, then the filter will not be able to track them and misadjustment will be large. In the other cases the filter can track. JOSE C. PRINCIPE.

U. OF FLORIDA

THE 6502

352 392 2662

principe@cnel.ufl edu

## Fundamental Energy Conservation Relations

Let us consider any adaptive filter obeying to the condition

$$W(i) = W(i-1) + \eta \times (i) g(e(i))$$
  $W(-1) \rightarrow Initial$ 

which can be written

Multiplying from the left both sides by x(i) the a priori and a posteriori errors are related by

Therefore we have (energy conservation relation)

$$\|w(i) - w(i)\|^{2} + \eta(i) \|e_{a}(i)\|^{2} = \|w(i) - w(i-i)\| + \eta(i) \|e_{p}(i)\|^{2}$$

$$\|e_{a}(i) = \times (i) \|w(i) - w(i-i)\|$$

$$\|e_{p}(i) = \times (i) \|w(i) - w(i)\|$$

$$\eta(i) = \frac{1}{\|w(i)\|^{2}} \times (i) \neq 0 \quad (o \text{ for } \times (i) = 0)$$

Note: In the case of steady state behavior we just need to re-interpret the weights.

JOSL C. PRINCIPI:

U. OF FLORIDA

1411-6502

353 307-3003

principe@cnel.ufl.edu

### Fundamental Variance Relation

From this we can get the variance

$$E \| \tilde{w}(i) \|^2 + E(\eta(i) |e_a(i)|^2) = E \| w(i) - w(i-i) \|^2 + E(\eta(i) |e_p(i)|^2)$$

And from the random walk model

the random walk model

$$E\| \widetilde{w}(i) - w(i-1)\|^2 = E\| \widetilde{w}(i-1) + q(i)\|^2$$

$$= E\| \widetilde{w}(i-1)\|^2 + E\|q(i)\|^2 + E[\widetilde{w}(i-1)q(i)] + E[q(i)\widetilde{w}(i-1)]$$

We can show that the crossterms are zero due to independence so

$$= ||\widetilde{w}(i)||^2 + E(\eta(i)|e_{a(i)}|^2) = E||\widetilde{w}(i-i)||^2 + ||\tau(Q)|| + E[\eta(i)|e_{p(i)}|^2)$$

For steady state performance  $\mathbb{E}\|\widetilde{\omega}(i)\|^2 = \mathbb{E}\|\widehat{\omega}(i)\|^2$ 

$$E\left[\eta(i)|e_{\alpha}(i)|^{2}\right] = TR(Q) + E\left[\eta(i)|e_{\alpha}(i) - \eta[X(i)]^{2}g(e(i)]^{2}\right]$$

JOSE C. PRINCIPE

U OF FLORIDA

JELL 6502

352 392-2662

principe@enel.ufl.edu

# Tracking Performance of the LMS

In the case of LMS

$$W(i) = W(i-1) + \eta \times (i) \ e(i)$$

$$g[e(i)] = e(i) = e_{\alpha}(i) + \nu(i)$$

$$\eta E[||\chi(i)||^{2}|e_{\alpha}(i) + \nu(i)|^{2} + \eta^{-1} T_{n}(\Omega) = 2 E(e_{\alpha}(i)|e_{\alpha}(i) + \nu(i))$$

For small stepsizes we get

$$E(|e_{\alpha}(\omega)|^{2}) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ m E \left[ \| \times (i) \|^{2} |e_{\alpha}(i)|^{2} + m \sigma^{2} T_{n}(R) + m^{-1} T_{n}(Q) \right] \right]$$

$$E(|e_{\alpha}(\omega)|^{2}) = \frac{m \sigma^{2} T_{n}(R) + m^{-1} T_{n}(Q)}{2}$$

If we assume independence of inputs and the a priori error

$$\frac{M_{OPT}}{G^2 T(R)} = \sqrt{\frac{T_n(Q)}{G^2 T(R)}}$$

$$E(|e_q(\infty)|_{min}^2 = \sqrt{G.T_n(R)} T_n(Q)$$